

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,163	09/27/2001		Shridhar P. Joshi	47079-0117	3932
30223	7590	03/22/2005		EXAM	INER
JENKENS (•	RADA, ALEX P		
225 WEST V SUITE 2600		TON	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		3714		

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/965,163	JOSHI, SHRIDHAR P.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Alex P. Rada	the correspondence address			
Period f	or Reply	opears on the sever enest man	ne serrespondence dadress			
THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mail ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	February 2005.				
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,14,16,18,20,21,27,37 and 38</u> and 38	awn from consideration. is/are rejected.	n.			
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·				
🗂	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	=xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appliority documents have been recall au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachme	• •					
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) fail Date			
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In response to the Election/Restriction mailed February 28, 2005 in which the applicant elects group 6 which includes claims 37-38, previously cancels claims 2, 5-13, 15, 17, 19, 22-26, withdraws claims 28-36 and 39, and claims 1, 3-4, 14, 16, 18, 20-21, 27, and 37-38 are pending in this office action.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the flow chart for claims 1, 14, 16, 27, and 37-38 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 14, 18, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moody (US 2002/0093136) in view of Brandstetter et al. (US 2003/0036427).
- 4. Moody discloses the following;

A gaming machine receiving a wager to initiate play, randomly selecting an outcome for the game from a plurality of possible outcome, representing the selected outcome eon a visual display (20), awarding a monetary payout from the gaming machine for a winning outcome (paragraph 31), dispensing a tangible sweepstakes entry from the gaming machine in response to the selected outcome being a predetermined one or more of the plurality of possible outcomes (paragraph 30), submitting the sweepstakes entry to enter the sweepstakes without involving the gaming machine, conducting the sweepstakes after the sweepstakes entry is dispensed form the gaming machine (paragraph 25 and summary), and the gaming machine in response to wagering on all available pay

lines, in which the examiner interprets to be the max bet for one pay line as recited in claims 1, 14, 18, and 27.

Moody does not expressly disclose the following:

Completing the tangible sweepstakes entry form manually with identifying indicia as recited in claims 1, 14, 18, and 27

Brandstetter et al teaches the following:

Completing the tangible sweepstakes entry form manually with identifying indicia (paragraphs 19-21 and 37 and summary) as recited in claims 1, 14, 18, and 27. By completing a sweepstakes entry form manually, one of ordinary skill in the art would provide game players a chance at a supplemental award to there initial gaming award.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Moody to include the completion of the tangible sweepstakes entry form manually with identifying indicia as taught by Moody to provide game players a chance at a supplemental award to there initial gaming award.

- 5. Claims 3-4, 16, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moody (US 2002/0093136) in view of Brandstetter et al. (US 2003/0036427) as applied to claims 1, 14, and 18 above, and further in view of Horniak et al. (US 20030100362).
- 6. Moody in view of Brandstetter et al disclose the claimed invention as discussed above except for the following:

The predetermined one or more of the plurality of possible outcomes is associated with the monetary payout exceeding a predetermined threshold and below a predetermined threshold as recited in claims 3-4, 16, and 20-21.

Horniak et al teaches the following:

One or more of the plurality of possible outcomes is associated with the monetary payout exceeding a predetermined threshold and below a predetermined threshold (paragraphs 43-44). By having a predetermined threshold associated with the monetary payout, one of ordinary skill in the art would provide an incentive to the players of a slot machine to continue to use the slot machine (paragraph 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Moody to further include one or more of the plurality of possible outcomes being associated with the monetary payout exceeding a predetermined threshold and below a predetermined threshold as taught by Horniak to provide an incentive to the players of a slot machine to continue to use the slot machine.

- 7. Claims 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moody (US 2002/0093136) in view of Brandstetter et al. (US 2003/0036427) and Erlichson et al. (US 2001/0039513).
- 8. Moody discloses the following:

A gaming machine receiving a wager to initiate play, randomly selecting an outcome for the game from a plurality of possible outcome, representing the selected outcome eon a visual display (20), awarding a monetary payout from the

gaming machine for a winning outcome (paragraph 31), and dispensing a tangible sweepstakes entry from the gaming machine in response to the selected outcome being a predetermined one or more of the plurality of possible outcomes (paragraph 30), and conducting the sweepstakes after the sweepstakes entry is dispensed form the gaming machine (paragraphs 25, 53-58, and summary) as recited in claim 37.

Moody does not expressly disclose the following:

Completing the sweepstakes entry from via a web site on an Internet with identifying indicia on an electronic sweepstakes entry form and submitting the electronic sweepstakes entry form via the website on the Internet to enter the sweepstakes without involving the gaming machine as recited in claim 37.

The website has a security access code for allowing access to the website as recited in claim 38.

Brandstetter et al teaches the following:

Completing the sweepstakes entry form with identifying indicia without involving the gaming machine (paragraphs 19-21 and 37-38 and summary) as recited in claim 37. By having completing the sweepstakes entry form, one of ordinary skill in the art would provide game players a chance at a supplemental award to there initial gaming award.

Erlichson et al teaches the following:

Submitting an electronic sweepstakes entry form via a website on the Internet (paragraph 5) and allowing access to the website (figures 1-4) as recited in claims 37 and 38. By submitting an electronic sweepstakes entry form via the

website on the Internet, one of ordinary skill would provide game players a chance at a supplemental award to there initial gaming award.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Moody to further include completing the sweepstakes entry from via a web site on an Internet with identifying indicia on an electronic sweepstakes entry form and submitting the electronic sweepstakes entry form via the website on the Internet to enter the sweepstakes without involving the gaming machine as taught by Brandstetter et al and Erlichson et al to provide game players a chance at a supplemental award to there initial gaming award.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cairns (US 6,173,267) discloses a product promotional contest with an Internet address and a password.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex P. Rada whose telephone number is 571-272-4452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica Harrison can be reached on 571-272-4449. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

APR

JESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER